

*Legislators' Home*

1957-58	...	...
1958-59	...	...
1959-60	...	13,250-00
1960-61	9,561-00	20,574-00

(d)

(i) 1957	nil
(ii) 1958	Rs. 1,195-00
(iii) 1959	346-00
(iv) 1960	292-00

## PRESENTATION OF REPORTS OF JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE.

Sri MALI MARIAPPA (Minister for Co-operation).—Sir, I beg to present the Reports of the Joint Select Committee on the following Bills :

- (1) The Mysore Pawn Brokers Bill, 1958 ; and
- (2) The Mysore Money Lenders Bill, 1958.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Reports of the Joint Select Committee on the Mysore Pawn Brokers Bill, 1958 and the Mysore Money Lenders Bill, 1958 are presented.

CHAIR'S RULING *Re.*—ALLEGED DISQUALIFICATION OF A MEMBER

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is clear from what the Hon'ble Member Sri T. Subramanya has said that he has no manner of interest in the trade or business of Sri T. S. Visveswaraiah.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Is it the ruling ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes. ಈಗ ನಾನು ಡಾಕ್ಯುಮೆಂಟ್ ನೋಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅದರ ಮೇರೆ ನಾನು ಯಾವ ನಿರ್ಣಯಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇನೋ ಅದನ್ನು ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಆಗಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದರ ಮೇರೆ ಯಾರಿಗೂ ವಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಅವರು ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನಗೂ ಸನ್ನ ಮಗನಿಗೂ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು, interest ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅದು ಡಾಕ್ಯುಮೆಂಟ್ ನೋಡಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸತ್ಯಾಂಶವೆಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ನಿನ್ನೆ ದಿವಸ ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ ನಾಳೆ ಈ ಡಾಕ್ಯುಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ಪಾರ್ಟಿಷನ್ ಡ್ರಿಂಗ್ ನು ಗೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ನಂತರ.....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆ ಡಾಕ್ಯುಮೆಂಟು ನಿನ್ನೆ ದಿವಸವೆಲ್ಲಾ ನನ್ನ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಇತ್ತು. ತಾವು ಯಾರೂ ಬಂದು ನೋಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. !

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—A matter of procedure. ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂದು ನಮಗೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಬೇಕು ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನಾನು ಬೊನ್ನೆ ಡಾಕ್ಯುಮೆಂಟ್ ತರಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ, ತಾವು ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ, ಬಹುವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾಗ, ಒಂದು ಸದುದ್ದೇಶವಿದೆ ಹೊದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ನಾನು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯರವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದನು ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಗಳಿಬ್ಬರ ವ್ಯವಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರನೆಯವರು ಕೈಹಾಕಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೂ ಆದರೂ ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಡಾಕ್ಯುಮೆಂಟ್ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು ಈಗ ಅದು ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿದೆ. But none of the Members has made an enquiry about the document. ನಾನು document ನೋಡಿದೇನೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಆದರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರೂ ಏನೂ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—As a matter of procedure ಎಂದು ಮಾತ್ರ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ತಮಗೆ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿದೆ, ಆದರೂ ತಾವು ದಯಪಾಲಿಸಿ ನನ್ನ ಮಾತನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕೇಳಬೇಕು...

Mr SPEAKER.—In the first place, I am not bound to show the document. It was a matter of a little bit of concession. Thereby I wanted to convince the Honourable Member who tabled this notice, but he did not take the opportunity. Now it is all past ; now there is no question of showing anything. I have seen the document. It is genuine, it is registered ; it bears the signature of the Registrar.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸದೆಯೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ನಮಗೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಬೇಕು ? ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯ ತಾವಿಲ್ಲಿ ಗಮನಿಸಬೇಕು. ಹಿಂದೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸಿದ್ದಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ ನವರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಂದಾಗ, ಯಾರು ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಎತ್ತಿದ್ದರೋ ಅವರಿಗೆ ನೋಡುತ್ತೆ ಒಂದು ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಆಮು ಹೇಳುವುದನ್ನು Substantiate ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ನಾರಾಯಣ್ಣ್ ಅವರು ಅದರ ಸೂಚಕರಾಗಿದ್ದರು. 1952ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎತ್ತಿದ್ದರು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ತಾವು ಪ್ರೋಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ನೋಡಬಹುದು.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—The Honourable Member is quite wrong. I will tell you what happened. I showed the procedure to some of the Honourable Members on the Opposite.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHA.—If the question of his having realised himself from the Joint family is raised, there are other questions which arise. There might be the question of re-union. If the document had been placed before the House. the members might have seen it. I would like to ask the Hon'ble Speaker whether he wants to see whether there is a *prima facie* case. If you want to be convinced about its being a *prima facie* case. for referring the matter to the Governor, Sri U. M. Madappa may have something to say and you can give him an opportunity to have his say.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is not procedure.

2-00 P.M.

I cannot give any Member any opportunity to speak. It is a question of myself being convinced as to whether there is a *prima facie* case. I have seen the document and I find that it is quite genuine and registered and it is in proper order.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—As against the, my friend Sri Madappa wants to say.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—To adduce evidence against a registered document? He knows what it is.

Sri J.B. MALLARADHYA.—As against the registered document here is a voters list of Bangalore City in which the Hon'ble Minister and his son happen to be in the same house. Then, the question of reunion will arise. They may prove that all this is not correct. So, without hearing this side, merely on the basis of the registered document you cannot say it. We are not disputing it, but do you dispute the voters list?

Mr. SPEAKER.—As a public Civil Service man of the past, he must remember how difficult it is to prove against a registered document and in that case I am not at fault.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Because several other things come in apart from the registered document, you please refer the matter to the Governor.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why should I? I am not here to look into it as a court of law.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, what is the section of the Rules of Procedure by which you are guided?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Mallaradhy, is trying to open up matters which are already closed....

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, either it should be in accordance with the Rules of Procedure or you can rely on the constitution. May I know the constitutional provision on which you are relying?

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—I rise to point of order. How long are we going on like this? When the Speaker has given a ruling, it is closed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ತಾವು ನಮಗೊಂದು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ತಾವು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಮುಂಚೆ ನಾವು ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆ ಅಷ್ಟುಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ನಮಗೆ ಸಮಾಧಾನವಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಡಾಕ್ಯುಮೆಂಟ್ ನನ್ನ ಕಡೆಬಂದಿದೆ, ನೋಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ...

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಡಾಕ್ಯುಮೆಂಟ್ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ತೊರಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದುದು ಎಚ್ ಅದರೆ, 'that is a private affair' ಎಂದು ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದರೂ as a concession ಇದನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. But nobody came to me the whole of yesterday.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Sir, the document may be registered they are several factors as to when it was registered and whether there was reunion etc.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, this is a question which not for the House to decide. I have heard my friend Sri Madappa; I have looked into the document also and now....

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—No Sir. Only my letter is there and you have not heard me.

Mr. SPEAKER.— He spoke yesterday.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—No Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I know for certain that certain remarks were made by Mr. Madappa. While I was dealing with this matter, I asked whether he had anything to say. He said, after Sri Subramanya spoke he would speak. Sri Subaramanya said something and Sri Madappa said something.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Sir, I said that I would speak after he produced the partition deed. After he has produced it, you have not allowed me to see it and speak. ಅವರು ಯಾವಾಗ ಡಾಕ್ಯುಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He ought to have come to me and asked me his to show the document. He cannot use the Speaker as his servant. He should have gone to his Chamber and found out whether he had received the document. He cannot expect him to go to his room and inform him.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Sir, you called for some documents from the Hon'ble Minister. At the same time, you should have called for the document from the Hon'ble Member also.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Any document which the Hon'ble Member had to produce ought to have been produced yesterday. I am not going to look into any document which is going to be produced now. Sri Madappa had the opportunity to produce whatever evidence he had yesterday itself I am not going to allow it to-day.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—On a point of order Sir, I submit that this is not the matter to be discussed on the floor of the House and a decision taken. Therefore, no discussion be allowed proceed.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—On a matter of procedure Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not going to hear anybody now. I heard members yesterday sufficiently. What happened yesterday or what did not happen yesterday is a different matter. Yesterday, there was an opportunity to the concerned Member to look into the document. I will not allow any single person to get up and say anything.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ನಾವು walk out ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

*(The Members of P.S.P. withdraw from House)*

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದುನೂ.

It is a very good move. I will read the ruling.

"It is clear from what the Hon'ble Member Sri T. Subramanya has said that he has no manner of interest in the trade of business of Sri T. S. Visweswariah. He has produced evidence to show that there has been a partition between Sri T. Subramanya and Sri T. S. Visweswariah and other members of his family. It is clear from this document and the other documents that he has produced that whatever, may be the nature of contract or relationship between Sri T. S. Visweswariah and the Government, the Hon'ble Member Sri T. Subramanya cannot be associated with it. In the circumstances I am quite clear in my mind that there is no question within the meaning of article 192 which require to be referred to the Governor. In the circumstances no action will be taken on the communication of the Hon'ble Member Sri U. M. Madappa.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Sir, is a Member free to send it to the Governor?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is not my concern Under article 192, it appears there is a scope.

If the Hon'ble Member wants to take to such course, I cannot prevent anybody. It is beyond my purview.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What happened to our privilege motion.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದೂ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Will take it for granted that you may give ruling to-morrow...

Mr. SPEAKER.—He may or may not take anything for granted.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—You were pleased to tell us about the Legislators' Home incidents...

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚೀಫ್ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರವರು ಒಂದು ಸ್ಟೇಟುಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

(Sri MUCKANNAPPA.—rose several times)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let him take his seat. He should not behave like that. What has happened to him of late, I do not know. After all I have said that the Chief Minister is going to make a statement. Why bother about it, I am going to give a ruling to-morrow or the day after to-morrow—to-morrow before I leave I will give the ruling.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—25 ನೇ ನಂಬರು ರೂಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. 125 ನೇ ನಂಬರು ರೂಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಕಬಾಡಿಯವರು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not know who was in Room No. 25. I may say that Muckannappa was there. (laughter)

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನಿರುವುದು 225 ನೇ ನಂಬರು ರೂಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—What about the procedural issue that was raised in respect of Sri Venkaji Rao's . . . . .

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದು ಆಗಲೇ ಮುಗಿದು ಹೋಯಿತು.

## BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are only 50 minutes left for discussion of this Bill.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I require about 20 minutes for my reply.

Mr. SPEAKER.—All the stages of the Bill have to be gone through within the time allotted. The Bill has to go through all the readings. There are amendments also. If the Hon'ble Minister requires 20 to 25 minutes, then we would have to go through the second reading. In that case, it is better that he gives his reply just now.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—What about giving chances to others.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮೊದಲು ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನವರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ರಿಪ್ಲೈ ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಲವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಇನ್ನೂ ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಈ ಸಭೆಯವರ ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಬಿಸಿನೆಸ್ ಅಡ್ವೈಸರಿ ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರು ಮೊದಲು ಮೂರು ಗಂಟೆಯಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲ ಎಂದು ಮಾಡಿದುದನ್ನು ಆಮೇಲೆ 5 ಗಂಟೆಗಳಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಏರಿಸಿ ಈಗ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಕನ್‌ಕ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಏಕೆ ಕ್ರಿಯೇಟು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

I cannot understand this confusion. How can I express...

Mr. SPEAKER.—He cannot express. He should adopt the usual procedure. He should make a request to the Chair. I know that some members want to take part in the debate. Members like Sri Veerappa are extremely anxious, I know.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—It is no exception to Veerappa. So many others want to speak.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—50 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳಷ್ಟು ಮಾತ್ರ ಕಾಲ ಈಗ ನಮಗಿದೆ ಇದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಈಗ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಒಂದು ಮಾತನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಮೂರು ಗಂಟೆಯ ಕಾಲವೆಂದು ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದುದನ್ನು ಈಗ ಐದು ಗಂಟೆಗಳಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದರು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಇದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನೀವೆಲ್ಲ ಸೇರಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನೀವು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ತಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ನಾನೂ ಏನು ಕುಡಿಯಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ, ಆಗಲಿ. ಇದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ನೀವು ಮಾಡಿದುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಪೀಕರವರು ಮಾಡಿದರು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯಾದುದಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ 50 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು 20 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳು ಎಂದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಡಿ, ಇನ್ನೂ ಸೆಕೆಂಡು ರೀಡಿಂಗ್ ಮುಂದೆ 50 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳನ್ನು ಬೇಕಾಗಬಹುದು.

If it is not the exception then it is the rule.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, I move that the consideration of his bill be extended by another 2 hours.